

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/838,348	04/19/2001	Randall W. Ojanen	K-1786	2490
7:	590 07/10/2006		EXAMINER	
Kennametal Inc.			SINGH, SUNIL	
P.O. Box 231 Latrobe, PA 15650			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3673	
			DATE MAILED: 07/10/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Astion Comment	09/838,348	OJANEN, RANDALL W.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Sunil Singh	3673			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONED	I. lety filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_·				
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward	ion is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4)	wn from consideration. s/are rejected.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and all accomposed and are specified as a specific process. Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in Priceive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other: 1 Sheet o	te			

Application/Control Number: 09/838,348

Art Unit: 3673

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 16,17,30,32-34,38,43-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 16 line 2, "said dimples" lack clear antecedent basis.

Claim 17 line 2, "said dimples" lack clear antecedent basis.

Claim 30 line 2, "said dimples" lack clear antecedent basis.

Claim 32 line 2, "said dimples" lack clear antecedent basis.

Claim 34 line 2, "said dimples" lack clear antecedent basis.

Claim 38 line 2, "said dimples" lack clear antecedent basis.

Claim 43 line 8, "said dimple" appears as if it should be related back to "at least two dimples".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 3673

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claims 15-17, 29-30, 32-34, 36-40, 43-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sollami (US 6397652).

Sollami discloses a cutting tool assembly, said assembly comprising:

a cutting tool (10") a retainer sleeve (38") carried by the cutting tool, and including at
least one radially outward protruding surface (31); wherein said retainer has a cylindrical
circumference and a thickness dimension, the amount of radial projection of said
protruding surface beyond the cylindrical surface of the retainer is between about 15
percent and about 30 percent of the thickness dimension of said retainer (see attached
marked up Fig. 15).

In the event applicant does not agree that Sollami anticipates some or all of the claims, then the following rejection(s) apply:

Application/Control Number: 09/838,348 Page 4

Art Unit: 3673

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 32-33, 36-37 and 44-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sollami '652.

Sollami discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Sollami is silent about the specific sizing of the dimples as called for in claims 32-33,36-37 and 44-45. It would have been considered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Sollami by making his dimples with the sizing dimensions called for in claims 32-33,36-37 and 44-45 since such sizing facilitates tool retention and removal.

7. Claims 15-17, 29-30, 32-34, 36-40, 43-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sollami '652.

Sollami discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Sollami does not explicitly disclose the thickness ratio between the outwardly protruding surface and the sleeve. It would have been considered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Sollami by having the thickness ratio between the outwardly protruding surface and the sleeve be 15-30%, since such a

Art Unit: 3673

modification allows for less crimping of the sleeve when installing in the bore of the block.

With regards to claims 32-33,36-37 and 44-45, it would have been considered obvious to modify Sollami by making his dimples with the sizing dimensions called for in claims 32-33,36-37 and 44-45 since such sizing facilitates tool retention and removal.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sunil Singh whose telephone number is (571) 272-7051. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 10:30 AM - 7:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Engle Patricia can be reached on (571) 272-6660. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/838,348

Art Unit: 3673

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Sunil Singh Primary Examiner Art Unit 3673

SS

55 7/6/06 Jun. 4, 2002



